

---

**From:** Loretta Dipboye

**Reply To:** Loretta Dipboye

**Date:** Sunday, March 29, 2015 at 2:22 PM

**To:** Ming Yeung

**Subject:** Tresure Island

Ms. Ming Yeung  
BCDC

Dear Ms. Yeung,

We are writing to you regarding the planned development of Treasure Island, for which you are listed in the BCDC Meeting Notice as the contact person. Unfortunately, due to work and other constraints, we probably will not be able to attend this meeting in person.

Although the development of Treasure Island has some appealing characteristics, we are extremely concerned about the increased demand for water that this project will cause. With plans for 8,000 housing units and a projected population of nearly 20,000 people plus 500 hotel rooms, as well as other less water-intensive commercial developments, the water use would be approaching 400 million gallons per year.

At a time when we are being asked to significantly cut back on water usage, and with predictions of future droughts lasting possibly for decades, the size of this project seems very irresponsible. We would like to know the source of the water that will be required for Treasure Island--we assume it will be the same as the water for the rest of San Francisco, namely Hetch Hetchy, but perhaps there are other potential sources--and also whether this issue has been considered at all in the planning process. Water, at least in California, is not in infinite supply, and it would seem wise to plan for a more sustainable future.

Thank you for any additional information you can provide us about this matter.

Respectfully,

Cheryl Switzer, President  
Loretta Dipboye, Chair, Housing Committee  
Inner Sunset Neighborhood Association

Loretta Dipboye, ISNA  
San Francisco, CA 94122

---

**From:** Maureen Gaffney  
**Date:** Monday, March 30, 2015 at 12:42 PM  
**To:** "Miramontes, Ellen@BCDC" , Ming Yeung  
**Cc:** Laura Thompson  
**Subject:** The SF Bay Trail on Treasure Island

Good Morning Ming,

Please forward the following comments regarding the Bay Trail on Treasure Island to the Commission for consideration at this Thursday's meeting.

While the Bay Trail is pleased to see the inclusion of a continuous shoreline trail around the Island as part of future development plans, we continue to have significant concerns regarding the proposed alignment in the vicinity of the ferry building and Building One. We understand that there is competition for very limited space in this area. However, proposals to route the Bay Trail inland, away from the waterfront on a circuitous route of Class II or III bike facilities and sidewalks instead of the Class I multi-use path adjacent to the shoreline (the goal of the Bay Trail Project) are deeply concerning. It should be noted that the Bay Trail Board of Directors accepts Class II bike lanes and sidewalks as complete segments of Bay Trail only when topography or existing incompatible uses (Port of Oakland, SFO, etc. ) prohibit the inclusion of a Class I path, and Class III bicycle boulevards are never considered for inclusion in the Bay Trail system.

With the amount and quality of professional, creative design and planning being directed toward the development of Treasure and Yerba Buena Islands, the opportunity exists to provide a continuous, world-class, Bay Trail directly adjacent to the shoreline, around the entire perimeter of the Island.

The Bay Trail Project thanks the Commission for their early and continued attention to this extremely important shoreline public access issue.

Best,  
Maureen Gaffney

SF Bay Trail  
ABAG  
P.O. Box 2050  
Oakland, CA 94604-2050

Phone: (510) 464-7909  
Fax: (510) 433-5509